IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

| CPV KEENAN II RENEWABLE |) | |
|---------------------------|---|-----------------------|
| ENERGY COMPANY, LLC, |) | |
| |) | |
| Plaintiff, |) | |
| |) | |
| v. |) | Case No. CIV-13-795-D |
| |) | |
| OKLAHOMA GAS AND ELECTRIC |) | |
| COMPANY, |) | |
| |) | |
| Defendant. |) | |

ORDER

Upon review of the Complaint, the Court finds insufficient factual allegations to support the assertion of federal subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a). Plaintiff is alleged to be a limited liability company. Federal appellate courts have unanimously held that a limited liability company should not be treated like a corporation under 28 U.S.C. § 1332(c)(1), but like a limited partnership or other unincorporated association under *Carden v. Arkoma Assocs.*, 494 U.S. 185, 195-96 (1990). The Complaint contains no information concerning the citizenship of Plaintiff's members or owners and, therefore, fails to allege its citizenship.

¹ The Court has "an independent obligation to determine whether subject-matter jurisdiction exists" and may raise the issue *sua sponte* at any time. *1mage Software, Inc. v. Reynolds & Reynolds Co.*, 459 F.3d 1044, 1048 (10th Cir. 2006).

² See Zambelli Fireworks Mfg. Co. v. Wood, 592 F.3d 412, 420 (3d Cir. 2010); Harvey v. Grey Wolf Drilling Co., 542 F.3d 1077, 1080 (5th Cir. 2008); Delay v. Rosenthal Collins Group, LLC, 585 F.3d 1003, 1005 (6th Cir. 2009); Johnson v. Columbia Properties Anchorage, LP, 437 F.3d 894, 899 (9th Cir. 2006); see also Pramco, LLC ex rel. CFSC Consortium, LLC v. San Juan Bay Marina, Inc., 435 F.3d 51, 54 (1st Cir. 2006); General Tech. Applications, Inc. v. Exro Ltda, 388 F.3d 114, 120 (4th Cir. 2004); GMAC Commercial Credit LLC v. Dillard Dep't Stores, Inc., 357 F.3d 827, 828-29 (8th Cir. 2004); Rolling Greens MHP, L.P. v. Comcast SCH Holdings LLC, 374 F.3d 1020, 1022 (11th Cir. 2004); Handelsman v. Bedford Village Assocs. Ltd. P'ship, 213 F.3d 48, 51 (2d Cir. 2000); Cosgrove v. Bartolotta, 150 F.3d 729, 731 (7th Cir. 1998).

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Plaintiff shall file an amended complaint to allege the existence of diversity jurisdiction not later than August 16, 2013.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 1st day of August, 2013.

TIMOTHY D. DEGIUSTI

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE